

The National Republic

WASHINGTON, D. C., THURSDAY MORNING, APRIL 10, 1879.

are utterly opposed to the revival in this country, or any part thereof, of African slavery, or any other kind of slavery, or involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime. They stand pledged to maintain the Union of the States under the Constitution, with all its existing amendments as they shall be expounded by the Supreme Court of the United States. They are against all unconstitutional or revolutionary methods. They are for law and order, and the protection of life, liberty, and property, without respect of persons or social conditions. For the redress of all grievances they look alone to the peaceful instrumentality of the Constitution—first, the law-making power; second, the law-executing power; third, the law-protecting power, and finally the ultimate sovereign power of the ballot-box. They are for a free ballot as well as for a fair and just count. While they are opposed to a large standing army, as were the framers of the Constitution, yet they are for keeping the army sufficiently large to repel invasion, defend our extensive frontier, as well as all necessary interior forts and

AN INSULT TO THE FLAG.

Company A's Neglect of the Stars and Stripes.

Spontaneous Meeting of the Grand Army of the Republic—The Light Infantry Denounced for Their Vacillating Loyalty to the National Emblem—Strong Language from Veterans, &c., &c.

A Censure for Company A.

Pursuant to a call, about 200 veteran soldiers of the Union army met at Grand Army Hall last evening. Mr. H. J. Gifford was called to the chair and Mr. B. F. Hawkes chosen secretary.

The chair in a short speech stated that the meeting was called for the purpose of considering what action should be taken regarding the non-appearance of the United States flag in the ranks of the visiting military from Norfolk, Va., or in Company A, the Washington organization that received them at the wharf in this city yesterday. The principal streets of this city had been marched through and the inhabitants insulted by a piece of blue silk, called the State flag of Virginia, but no Stars and Stripes could be discerned. He then invited those present to take such action as they might see fit.

Mr. E. C. Ford called attention to the fact that this was the second time within a year that a visiting military organization had paraded the streets of Washington under escort of the local military company, and both had studiously avoided displaying the United States flag. He thought it was an exhibition which should be discountenanced, and favored the appointment of a committee to wait upon Company A and request them to carry the Stars and Stripes on their march to-day. Before action could be taken Mr. W. B. Gonsalves moved that a committee of six be appointed to draft resolutions expressive of the sense of the meeting. The motion was adopted, and the following were appointed on the committee: Messrs. Gonsalves, Heron, Ford, Hawkes, Joyce, and Miller. The committee retired, and remarks were called for.

Mr. S. E. Thomason said some action should be taken relative to rebel companies visiting the nation's capital and failing to display a Union emblem. Last year no less than four companies from Virginia visited Washington, all of whom failed to exhibit anything but a blue rebel flag. The time had come for Union men to do something. These infernal rebels had been whipped at Appomattox Court-House by men who carried the Stars and Stripes, and slunk off like whipped curs. Now they are coming back and exhibiting rebel flags, instead of the flag of the nation.

Mr. J. B. Dunning said he saw the visiting company escorted through the streets by the Washington Light Infantry. He saw no flag except a blue one borne by the visitors, bearing the inscription, "Sic Semper Tyrannis." This motto was very dear to the Southern people, especially since Booth had used it at the time he assassinated President Lincoln. It was strange that the visitors did not have a United States flag, but stranger still that Company A had not corrected the error. The visit of these Virginians was well timed. But a few days ago the proclamation of that rebel wiper-out, Blackburn, had been issued, that measures of the war now on the statute books should be erased. It was no wonder, then, that he met his friends at the wharf. Instead of bearing a flag at the head of their column they should have had a pair of pants inscribed "Pestilence." It was a time this was not in consonance with the doctrines of the rebel leaders in Congress. The affair yesterday was a national insult. But it was not the first time it had been done. It was a matter of frequent occurrence. Now the proper thing to do was to take steps which would show to the whole country that at the seat of Government there were enough Union men to repudiate the action of a crowd of Confederates and their sympathizers who refused to carry a national flag in their parade.

Mr. G. P. Stiles said the meeting of these Union soldiers was not brought about by a feeling of frenzy, but it was intended to express in terms unmistakable their disapprobation of the insult offered to them. A great object was to be attained by the present Congress, and by any means. The rebellion originated in the Democratic party, and soldiers had to oppose the same elements they fought against eighteen years ago. By the action of the rebel soldiers yesterday they evinced a desire to cast a slur upon the old flag. [At this point an American flag was unfurled and created great enthusiasm, round after round of applause being given with hearty good will.]

Mr. S. Weaver said if the specimens seen on the streets of Washington yesterday were types of Southern soldiers, they were hardly worth wasting time. They had been born since the war, and raised in the land of Virginia. Their first lesson had been that the Stars and Stripes were not their emblem, but the Stars and Bars were. As their action yesterday was the result of their training, they could hardly be blamed. Instead of wasting their fire on a few "Virginia babies," it would be better to turn the guns on Company A, of this city. The Virginians had boasted that in four visits to Washington they saw no United States flag. Now, a proper thing to do would be to make Company A carry the Stars and Stripes or disband.

Mr. Thompson said if Company A had no flag to use on parade it would be a good plan to take up a subscription and buy them one.

Mr. Saville said that section 1633 of the Revised Statutes only compels regiments or battalions to carry a United States flag. There was nothing, however, to prevent a company from carrying one, and a visiting organization should respect the National Government enough to do so.

Mr. Weaver said Company A had carried a United States flag when they visited Northern cities, but studiously avoided showing it to their gray-back visitors, for fear of offending them or hurting their feelings. The result of this was when the rebels returned home they boasted that no Union flag waved over them on their trip. It remained for Company A to refute this slander by conspicuously displaying the national emblem on all occasions and thus throw the insult back in the teeth of their visitors.

Major Montis said he had never seen a parade or a company that did not exhibit a United States flag. Custom would have dictated to Company A that they should have met the visiting company at the wharf with a United States flag, if they were in the habit of carrying one at Boston, and Harrisburg, and other Northern cities.

Mr. Bunnell said if Company A had met the rebel company with a United States flag the howl would have gone up from Congress that intimidation was being used. Consequently the flag was laid aside out of respect for the feelings of gentlemen "from across the country."

Major Dillon said the emblem of authority should have preceded Company A, as the organization was commissioned by the Executive of the nation.

Mr. Saville said the rebels had a wholesome dread of the flag in the past, and that was the cause of their hate now.

A. H. G. Richardson said Company A was an independent company. In other portions of the country not only State, but United States, flags were carried. Companies never thought of turning out without carrying both colors.

S. E. Thomason said that Company A represented the First Regiment National Guards. The officers of the company were now acting under commissions as regimental officers. He

would be one of a party to go on the streets and hoot and howl at Company A until they were driven back to their armory to get a United States flag.

Mr. Thompson said that Company A was a creature of the Government and could be abolished by a word from the President of the United States.

Mr. Scott said when a Company went North and West they carried a United States flag. On the other hand, when Southern companies come they refrain from carrying the flag out of courtesy. The idea of the meeting should be to show disgust at the action of Company A.

Mr. Newton Ferree said every company carrying United States arms should be made to carry a United States flag.

Mr. Scott said when a Southern company visited Washington the American flag was conspicuously absent. The Southern people want to bury all animosities of the war, and, to do so, all obnoxious items, among which is the United States flag, must be laid away.

Captain Brown said he would join a party to compel Company A to carry a United States flag. It was but right that they should do so, living as they did under the dome of the Capitol.

Mr. S. Lincoln said he was not surprised that the visiting company did not carry a United States flag, as a majority of its members were opposed to it. These creatures had come to Washington and flaunted an insult in the faces of Union men. Company A were such tender-skinned creatures and had so much feeling for these Rebel whelps that they should be the ones fired at, and not the visitors who had such a holy horror of the flag.

The committee on resolutions returned and reported the following resolutions, which were unanimously adopted, after which the meeting adjourned:

Whereas this city has recently been visited by a company of men from the State of Virginia, armed at the expense of the United States Government; and

Whereas an organization of men designated as Company A Washington Light Infantry, has received and escorted said company from Virginia through the public streets of the Capital of the United States, refusing or neglecting to bear, in accordance with a time-honored custom on such occasions, the flag of our country; and

Whereas it is believed that said Company A refused or neglected to bear our national emblem out of deference to the wishes of the said company from Virginia: Therefore be it

Resolved, That we, honorably discharged Union soldiers and sailors, who served during the late rebellion, desire and deem it eminently proper to express our utter contempt of any organization of men, calling themselves soldiers, who are furnished arms by the National Government, who, under any circumstances or pretenses whatever, would consent or be persuaded to appear upon the public streets of any city in this Union without bearing the Stars and Stripes.

Resolved, That said Company A of this city, by appearing upon the streets of the Capital of the United States as escorts for a military organization without the national flag, merits and deserves the reproach and contempt of all loyal men, and that in the future we shall regard the absence of the United States flag from their ranks on parade as a direct and intended insult to the entire loyal community and as forfeiting their support.

Resolved, That a copy of these resolutions be forwarded to the honorable Secretary of War, with the request that he direct that all companies armed by the United States Government shall bear the national emblem on all parades.

"An Insult to the Flag of Their Country."

To the Editor of the National Republican:

SIR: All men and women in the District of Columbia loyal to the flag of their country were surprised and indignant to see the Washington Light Infantry escort their Norfolk friends through the streets of Washington yesterday without any United States flag. The Norfolk company were dressed in rebel grey and were also without any United States flag, but carried the colors of Virginia. Are these men ashamed of the old flag? At this late day do they suppose they can insult the flag of their country? They tried it once down in Virginia and not badly when they so soon forgotten their promises? Have they forgotten that Virginia has been converted into a mausoleum for their dead, and a waste of desolation as a consequence of such treason?

But what excuse can the Washington Light Infantry have for thus insulting the flag of their country? The arms and accoutrements they bear have been furnished by the District of Columbia. They are continuing to call on our loyal citizens to help support their organization, and aid them in entertaining their friends from abroad. Do they suppose their conduct meets with the approbation of their friends? If they have members those who served in the rebel army, and dislike the stars and stripes, let them step down and out, and loyal men will fill their places.

The Washington Light Infantry can rest assured that they must carry the United States colors when they parade the streets of the National capital. The District Commissioners must enforce this order, or they must step down and out, and their places shall be filled with loyal men. Forbearance has ceased to be a virtue, and the people of the District of Columbia will not tolerate this any longer. No country on the face of the globe would allow a military company to parade without carrying the national colors. Shall they be allowed to parade the streets to-day offering an insult to the flag of their country? M.

Another Man Who Noticed It.

To the Editor of the National Republican:

SIR: Why is it that the Southern gentlemen who love the Union so dearly, as we are told by some of the prominent Southern statesmen in Congress, have such a holy horror of the United States flag? I noticed this morning that the military company from Norfolk, Va., on a visit to our city, were without one. Even our own company "A," out of tender regard for the feelings of their guests, refrained from carrying the banner of our country. They may have had a hint that their visitors would refuse to march after the hated emblem of the Union.

EX-UNION SOLDIER.

THE GREAT DOG SHOW.

Honors Bestowed Upon the Purps.

NEW YORK, April 9.—At the dog show to-night among the prizes awarded were the following: English setters—Minto, owned by Lincoln & Hollyar, Warren, Mass., first; Abbe, by Edward L. Montague, New York, second; Meg, by Samuel Scanlon, Rhode Island, third. English setter puppies over six months: Dogs—Wellington, owned by D. T. Charles, Albany, N. Y., first; Royal Pomp, by W. H. Pierce, Peekskill, second. English setter puppies over six months: Bitches—Tricket, owned by D. T. Charles, Albany, first; Polka, the Lloyd Kennel, second. Champion black-and-tan setter dogs—Trump, owned C. M. Pond, Hartford, Conn., first; Bitches—Nellie, owned by S. W. Rodman, Boston, first. Black-and-tan setters: Dogs—Turk, owned by T. F. Taylor, first; Ben, owned by E. L. Mills, Washington, D. C., second; Dash, owned by C. T. Brownell, New Bedford, Mass., third. Bitches—Lady Gordon, owned by the Aldine Kennel of Chicago, first; Nelly F., owned by F. Frendenthall, Norwalk, Conn., second; Bess, owned by L. Curtis, Boston, Mass. Black-and-tan setter puppies, over six months old: Dogs—Duke, owned by H. W. Huntington, Brooklyn, first; Scott, owned by T. F. Sawyer, jr., Boston. Black-and-tan setter puppies—Bronza, owned by S. D. Schenck, New York, first; Dream, owned by A. Powell, second. Black-and-tan setter puppies under six months old: Dogs—Brighton, owned by S. F. Speer, first. More prizes will be awarded to-morrow.

Progress of the Southern Pacific Railroad.

[Special dispatch to the Republican.]

END OF TRACK S. P. R. R.,

ARIZONA, April 9.

Eight thousand three hundred feet of track laid yesterday. J. H. STROBRIDGE,

Chief of Construction.

SITUATION AT ALASKA.

The Citizens Alarmed at the Present Prospect.

British Steamer Osprey Relieved by the Alaska—The Alaska's Stay to be Brief—An Attack Certain if the Alaska Leave—Appeal to the President—Collector Ball's Fears, &c., &c.

Advices from Sitka.

SAN FRANCISCO, April 9.—A Victoria dispatch says the British gunboat Osprey arrived this morning from Sitka, where she was relieved on the 31 instant by the United States ship Alaska. All was quiet at the time of the Osprey's departure. On the night of the 29th ultimate trouble was feared, and, at the request of Colonel Vector of the port, requested the commander of the Osprey to have his men in readiness to land the moment a signal was given from the shore. The request was complied with, and the crew of the United States revenue steamer Oliver Wolcott, lying off Indian Village, also stood to quarters all night, but no occasion arose for their services. It afterward appeared that a number of Chilkate Indians, recently arrived from the north, had been holding a drunken carouse with their friends in the village, during which a quarrel arose, and a Sitka chief named Ana-hoots, who is friendly to the whites and lives within the stockade, was severely wounded. One of his assailants was also badly hurt, and the Chilkates threatened to kill Ana-hoots in retaliation. It was feared that the quarrel might result in a general attack on the whites on account of the unfriendly relations with Ana-hoots? Aside from this no trouble arose during the Osprey's stay at Sitka.

COLLECTOR BALL APPREHENSIVE.

The following has been received from Victoria:

CUSTOM HOUSE, SITKA, ALASKA, April 8.

Allen Francis, esq., United States Consul, Victoria: DEAR SIR: The United States steamer Alaska, Captain Brown, arrived here this morning and the British ship Osprey will sail at three this afternoon. I have only time to say that from Captain Brown's information to me of his orders and intentions, this place will soon be left in greater danger than ever. The Indians are incensed at the shooting of the murderers below and the Chilkates have already arrived to demand redress. They openly sneer at the Wolcott as unable to protect us, and that is true. We might all be massacred in sight of her and without power to prevent, for she cannot land a man to help us, and could not fire on friends and foes alike in a fight. The leaving of the Alaska will confirm the Indians, in their belief that the Government does not care to protect the country, of which they openly boast now. I know a purpose exists and is fixed among them to sack the town, which involves a massacre, of course. I have done all I could to bring these facts to the attention of the Government, and it seems useless to me to attempt any more, but if you know how to use this information, do so officially or in any way possible. I have not started this alarm, nor suffered myself to carry it excitedly, but I know the situation here is dangerous and extreme.

M. D. BALL,

Collector, Sitka, Alaska.

AN APPEAL TO THE PRESIDENT.

To the President of the United States, Washington, D. C.:

In behalf of 300 residents of this city we ask protection for our lives. When the ship Alaska leaves us we will be helpless. The Indians are ready now to attack, and may as soon as she leaves. The danger is imminent.

Signed by Alexander Millicent, J. Schmeig, M. W. Richter, T. Millicent, J. Corcoran, Rev. N. G. Mitropolsky, priests of the Russian Church, with a congregation of 24: Thomas Haltern, and F. F. McGowan.

CUSTOM-HOUSE, SITKA, April 8.

I certify that I believe the danger imminent as specified in the above. M. D. BALL,

Collector.

Regarding Recent Southern History.

To the Editor of the National Republican:

SIR: Your readers doubtless concur with you that, "One of the strangest features of the pending debate in the House is the ignorance of Northern Republicans who are engaged in it regarding the Southern history of the war." The hypocritical pretensions of Southern Democrats in this debate are worthy of the men who are themselves the representatives and direct products of the grossest outrages on the ballot-box, and the elective rights of freemen that blacken the history of any free government. They the defenders of fair elections, the guardians of purity and freedom at the polls! Assurance and impudence refined into the sublime.

Out upon such insulting and villainous effrontery. Let the mask be torn from their faces and the hideous visages beneath be exposed to the scorn and contempt they deserve. The present is no time to deal in homied phrase and to conjure the delusive hope of Southern reconciliation on honorable terms. The evidence is all on one side. It is time the country were made familiar with it.

Let the Republicans confront and confound these men with a recital, in historical order, of the high-handed wrongs they have committed upon the elective franchise while professing the same patriotic regard for its purity and protection as they flaunt so virtuously into our faces to-day. Let Republicans show that absolute freedom of election has not been known in the South for at least fifty years past. To have voted for Fremont or Lincoln would have been to invite tar and feathers if not hemp at the nearest tree. That instead of relying upon a fair and free election to win their victories, they have relied upon physical force for success; that the South was made solid for secession in 1861 by exactly the same methods that make it solid to-day; that States were forced into the Confederacy at the point of the bayonet and notoriously Tennessee, whose people, by the overwhelming vote of 70,000 majority, had declared against disunion, and within three months thereafter, while still a State in the Union, was overrun with brigades of Confederate troops from Georgia, Alabama, and Mississippi, her Union men intimidated, driven to the forests and mountains, or cast into prisons, and then, with the armed invaders surrounding her ballot-boxes, resistlessly dragged into the rebellion. That since reconstruction began their black and bloody record is unbroken by a single remission, except when their death-dealing hands were stayed by the strong arm of national power. That organized, officered, and armed bands of Ku-Klux, White Liners, rifle clubs, and Democratic regulators have infested every State of the South since 1867. That the sole and avowed object of these organizations was to commit outrages upon electors and the electoral franchise. That these armed bands, by a system of intimidation, assassination, and crime unparalleled in wickedness and brutality, have subverted free governments in the South, established the rule of the armed minority over the weak and helpless majority by sheer force, trampled the elective franchise in the dust, and to-day hold the majority in subjection by organized terrorism and power. That they have no more regard for State lines now than they had in 1861, when they invaded Tennessee, and cross and recross them to assist their co-workers in crime whenever occasion may seem to require. That their numbers in either South Carolina, Mississippi, or Louisiana exceed the entire army of the United States. That the roll of the martyred dead slain in this unequal struggle for liberty swells into the thousands, while a history of their heroic sufferings would fill volumes so numerous, but damnable in atrocity, that loyal men would stand appalled at their recital and hide their heads in shame that they were committed in free America. That at least half the Democratic Representatives and Senators from the South owe their election directly to these methods of armed and overpowering violence. That they hold their